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Objectives: To present our technique of lipotransfer and to evaluate a single center's experience in the use of lipotransfer as an adjunct to head and neck reconstruction. Study Design: A retrospective review of all patients undergoing lipotransfer over a 5-year period by the senior author was undertaken. A total of 23 patients with a minimum follow-up of 1 year were available for analysis. Methods: Patient records were retrospectively reviewed to assess functional (in the case of palate augmentation) and esthetic outcomes. Results: Twenty-three patients undergoing lipotransfer as part of their reconstructive effort included (1) eight patients undergoing temporal fossa augmentation following temporoparietal muscle flap reconstruction for extirpative skull base surgery, (2) six patients undergoing facial defect augmentation following traumatic atrophy, (3) three patients undergoing palatal augmentation for correction of velopharyngeal insufficiency, and (4) six patients undergoing soft tissue augmentation following flap reconstruction of the face. Twenty of the 23 patients had excellent maintenance of graft volume. An adequately vascularized recipient bed appears to be an important factor in determining ultimate graft survival using our technique. Conclusions: Lipotransfer of the head and neck represents a simple, effective adjunctive technique providing for large amounts of readily available, well-tolerated soft tissue filler material. Patient selection is important, specifically in regard to determining that there is adequate vascularity of the recipient bed. Keywords: Lipotransfer, reconstruction, fat grafting, facial plastic surgery.
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Soft tissue augmentation has been successfully accomplished with a number of materials of varying biocompatibility. These include injectable silicone (no longer approved by the US Food and Drug Administration) and other alloplasts, collagen, acellular dermis, fascia, and adipose tissue. The ideal material is biocompatible and inexpensive, persists over the long term, is available in large quantities, and carries no risk of rejection and a low chance of infection. Autologous fat has historically been accepted as fulfilling all of these criteria with some uncertainty as to long-term risk of resorption. However, there is increasing evidence to support its persistence with proper preparation, injection, and patient selection.

Lipotransfer is certainly not a new procedure. The first attempt at fat transfer took place more than 100 years ago when Neuber1 used small pearls of fat taken from the arm to fill a depressed facial defect. In 1911, Bruning2 was the first to inject autologous fat into the subcutaneous tissues for the purpose of soft tissue augmentation. For the next 40 years, fat transplants were largely neglected as surgeons became more interested in pedicled flaps and the use of artificial injectable substances, such as paraffin and silicone. In 1950, however, there was renewed interest in lipotransfer as Peer3 demonstrated an average retention of the transplanted fat of 40 to 50%. Interest continued to escalate in the 1980s, largely in Europe and among the dermatology community, because liposuction now provided easy and abundant access to large amounts of adipose tissue.4 Chajchir5 discussed the use of fat grafts for facial rhytids and Vita6 explored the use of fat transfer for rejuvenation of aging hands. In the 1990s, Coleman7–8 concentrated fat by centrifugation and used this fat in multiple planes across the face for a “facelift bypass.” Over the past decade, the majority of published articles discuss refinement of these techniques and demonstrate positive long-term results of fat transfer used in cosmetic augmentation.9–18

As the role of lipotransfer in esthetic soft tissue augmentation of the face, neck, and body has continued to expand, there remains little information in the literature regarding its use as an adjunct in reconstructive surgery of the head and neck. In this article, we review our positive experience in the routine use of lipotransfer to improve the functional and esthetic outcomes in this patient population.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

A retrospective review of all patients undergoing lipotransfer for head and neck reconstruction over a 5-year period by the senior author (Y.D.) was undertaken. A total of 23 patients with a
minimum follow-up of 1 year were analyzed for the purpose of this study. Serial photographic documentation during the follow-up period was used to evaluate the response to treatment at all sites. In addition, speech therapy evaluation of patients with palatal augmentation for velopharyngeal insufficiency was utilized to evaluate the effectiveness of palatal augmentation in terms of functional outcome. Lipotransfer was used in four broad categories of patients: (1) soft tissue augmentation of the temporal fossa following temporalis muscle flap harvest; (2) correction of soft tissue atrophy in the patient sustaining facial trauma; (3) augmentation of the soft palate for correction of velopharyngeal insufficiency; and (4) esthetic enhancement of facial contour following flap reconstruction of oncologic defects.

**Lipotransfer Technique**

The patient is placed in a supine position with the head, neck, and abdomen prepped and drapped. Two small stab incisions are made along the inner lateral borders of the umbilicus. Next, tumescent solution is introduced through an infiltrating cannula. The senior author (Y.D.) uses the following tumescent solution, which facilitates fat harvest, decreases postoperative bruising, and decreases intraoperative blood loss:

- 500 mL lactated Ringer solution
- 20 mL 2% plain lidocaine
- 1 mL epinephrine 1:1000
- 1 mL 3% sodium bicarbonate

Approximately 40 mL of tumescent solution is used during an average case. Full benefit is derived from the tumescent solution by waiting approximately 10 minutes prior to harvest. A 35 mL, 3.7 mm cannula is then attached to a 30 mL syringe. With the open portion of the cannula facing away from the skin surface, quick precise sweeping movements are made along the previously established planes. The nondominant hand continues to grasp the skin and abdominal fat and pull it ventrally. The dominant hand retracts the plunger of the 30 mL syringe, bringing fat globules into the syringe through the negative pressure provided by constant traction on the plunger. Once both sides of the abdominal wall have been harvested in approximately equal amounts, the collected fat is allowed to sit for approximately 15 minutes to allow for settling out of the layers (Fig. 1). Use of a centrifuge will expedite this process. The top layer is discarded because it contains a high concentration of free fatty acids and other promoters of the inflammatory response. The next layer contains the non-traumatized fat that is suitable for injection. The bottom layer is serous fluid that is discarded because it provides a false sense of augmentation that is not sustained. The central viable fat layer is then transferred with an anaerobic connector (Fig. 2) into a series of 10 mL syringes, which are loaded onto an injection gun (Fig. 3). This will allow for metered pearls of fat to be deposited into the desired location and for restoration of soft tissue form. We believe that overcorrection is not required with the outlined technique. Thus, soft tissue augmentation ceases once an esthetically favorable form has been attained.

Depending on the size of the needle used for injection and the amount of fat injected, an absorbable 5.0 or 6.0 plain gut suture can be used to seal the injection site so as to prevent any extrusion of fat and to reapproximate the skin edges to enhance cosmesis. The umbilical stab incisions are closed with a similar suture. An abdominal binder is worn by the patient for 1 week continuously and then nightly for a further 3 weeks. Preoperatively, we habitually use first-generation cephalosporin and metronidazole and a single dose of intravenous dexamethasone. Postoperatively, oral first-generation cephalosporins are provided for 1 week.

**RESULTS**

**Temporal Fossa Augmentation**

Eight patients underwent lipotransfer to the temporal fossa after temporalis muscle flap reconstruction following extirpative skull base surgery. We typically perform initial temporal fossa cranioplasty by restoration of the volume deficit left by temporalis muscle harvest, with hydroxyapatite cement. This is performed in all patients and suffices to provide for the initial correction of the deformity. Over the long term, as the edema completely subsides, there is a loss of the normal “soft” appearance to...

---

![Fig. 1. Harvested fat has been allowed to settle into well-defined layers. Large arrow, free fatty acid layer; small arrow, serous fluid layer. The tissue layer for transplantation lies between the serous and the free fatty acid layers.](image1)

![Fig. 2. Anaerobic transfer is accomplished to allow for transfer of the harvested fat into 10 mL syringes. Significant exposure to air may allow for unwanted oxidization of the fat.](image2)
the temporal fossa when augmented with cement. Thus, we habitually offer disease-free patients lipotransfer to the temporal fossa to soften the appearance of the well-augmented defect at 6 months following initial surgery (some patients are quite content with their initial appearance and desire no further surgery). A total of 13 lipotransfers were performed in this group of patients (four patients underwent one procedure each; three patients, two procedures each; and one patient, three procedures). The 1-year contour improvement was felt to be good in six patients and inadequate in two. The patients with what was judged to be a good result (reasonable contour correction, soft appearance, maintenance of graft volume) had initially undergone augmentation of the intact calvarium of the temporal fossa with hydroxyapatite cement only, whereas the other two patients had undergone alloplastic (titanium mesh and hydroxyapatite) cement reconstruction of a complete calvarial defect initially (Figs. 8 and 9).

Facial Defect Augmentation after Traumatic Atrophy

A number of patients sustaining trauma to the soft tissues of the face will develop subsequent atrophy of the underlying subcutaneous tissue, resulting in significant contour irregularities. Six patients underwent lipotransfer to help correct some of these post-traumatic irregularities. All six underwent only a single procedure and were able to achieve sustainable improvement without the need for any further augmentation (Figs. 4 and 5).

Augmentation of the Palate for Treatment of Velopharyngeal Insufficiency

Three patients with velopharyngeal insufficiency following oncologic oropharyngeal resection (n = 2) and bimaxillary swing (n = 1) underwent lipotransfer to the free edge of the soft palate. Two patients underwent a single procedure and one underwent two procedures. Significant improvement in both hypernasality and nasopharyngeal reflux of food was noted in each case.

Soft Tissue Augmentation Following Flap Reconstruction of the Face

Although regional flaps provide for excellent coverage of cutaneous defects of the face and neck, on occasion there is inadequate subcutaneous tissue volume to the flap as compared to normal. This is most commonly noted when cervical flaps (relatively little subcutaneous tissue) are used to reconstruct cheek tissues (relatively large amount of subcutaneous tissue) removed as a result of extirpative surgery or lost as a result of trauma. Six patients underwent a total of eight lipotransfer procedures (four patients underwent one procedure each and two patients underwent two procedures each). The final result was judged to be good in five patients and remained inadequate in one patient after two episodes of augmentation (Figs. 6 and 7).

Other than the expected ecchymosis noted at the donor site, no donor site morbidity has been noted in our patient population to date. No seromas were noted. The umbilical scar has been well accepted owing to its minor
size and relatively hidden nature. No recipient site morbidity was noted in our study population. There was no evidence of autograft infection, extrusion, or rejection.

Fig. 6. Preoperative appearance of a patient who had undergone a cervicofacial rotation flap for coverage of a right cheek defect following excision of a dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans. Although her reconstructive result is adequate, there is a relative deficiency of subcutaneous tissue in the flap portion of the cheek.

DISCUSSION

In theory, injectable autologous adipose tissue represents a nearly ideal soft tissue replacement material for head and neck reconstruction. Donor site morbidity is minimal and may be construed as being esthetically beneficial by the patient through removal of unwanted areas of lipodystrophy. The material is readily available in large quantities and is biocompatible, well tolerated, and accepted by the patient population. As early as 1940, Clark and Clark19 reported that storage of fat was a dynamic process, with cyclic deposition and removal of lipid material from larger fat droplets within adipocytes. Subsequently, Saunders et al.20 examined the ultimate survival of adipose tissue autografts used over dura following laminectomy in humans. In both this human model of graft survival and a mouse experimental model, the authors noted survival of the autograft with normal adipose tissue and no evidence of scar tissue. This lack of fibrous tissue reaction with long-term persistence of the graft material is a unique characteristic of autologous fat that is not seen with alloplasts or other autografts.

The ease of use, biocompatibility, availability, and patient acceptance of adipose autografts is well recognized. Long-term graft volume maintenance remains a potential problem, preventing the routine use of this material by many reconstructive surgeons. Yet the surgical literature is replete with affirmative studies demonstrating long-term survival of transplanted fat in a variety of recipient sites.21–24

In our series, we have noted excellent maintenance of graft volume in the majority of our patient population (20 of 23). We defined maintenance of graft volume by examining serial photographs demonstrating no significant change at the recipient site from initial augmentation. Among the three patients with lack of long-term volume maintenance, two underwent augmentation of the temporal fossa following titanium mesh-hydroxyapatite cement cranioplasty,25 and one underwent lipotransfer following flap reconstruction of the cheek. The first two are postulated to have failed secondary to augmentation between an alloplastic construct and atrophied overlying temporal fossa skin and its inability to provide for adequate neo-vascularization of the grafted adipocytes. The patient who failed following flap reconstruction to the cheek had developed a significant fibrotic reaction within the flap after radiation therapy to the region. This also is believed to have formed an inadequate recipient bed for the transplanted adipocytes, contributing to their subsequent resorption. With these exceptions, we noted excellent graft survival with functional (in the case of palate augmentation) and esthetic restoration of form through the outlined technique of lipotransfer without the need for initial overcorrection.

All members of this study population had healed well following major reconstructive surgery of the head and neck. The procedure in question was presented as a method of enhancing their final result. Lipotransfer represents an outpatient procedure with little discomfort and no foreign body implantation. Patient acceptance for this procedure both preoperatively and postoperatively was high.

Fig. 7. The 1-year postoperative appearance of the patient shown in Figure 6 following a single lipotransfer.
CONCLUSION

Lipotransfer in head and neck reconstruction appears to represent a simple, efficacious, well-tolerated technique associated with excellent functional and aesthetic outcomes at a number of sites. Long-term graft volume maintenance is seen in the majority of patients. One should expect a decrease in graft volume over time in patients with a poorly vascularized recipient bed.
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