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The primary concerns of head and neck cancer surgeons are, and should remain, the complete extirpation of tumor and the prevention of tumor recurrence. In recent years, numerous advances have been made in the reconstruction of this patient population, significantly improving their functional and aesthetic outcomes. In this article, with an illustrative case example, we present our technique of unilateral deep plane neck lift that may be considered when one is attempting to achieve better symmetry in patients after radical neck dissection.


Multidisciplinary efforts directed at the treatment of various head and neck cancers have led to major advances in the rehabilitation of this patient population. In particular, microsurgical free flaps and osseointegration have revolutionized the capabilities of modern reconstruction, shortening hospital stays and improving the overall therapeutic, functional, and aesthetic results achieved. After complete tumor removal or regression, the major goal of any reconstructive effort is directed at the expeditious return of the patient into all aspects of society, both productive and social. However, there is no doubt that the necessary disfigurement associated with the complete removal of many head and neck tumors, may have a profound impact on the patient’s psychological well-being and socialization capabilities.

The physical implications for the patient after neck dissection, have, appropriately, received a substantial amount of attention in the literature. Physiotherapy and preservation of cranial nerve II, when appropriate, have significantly improved the range of motion and strength of patients’ shoulder girdle movements and neck excursion capabilities postoperatively. However, the aesthetic rehabilitation of this patient population after neck dissection has largely been ignored. In this article, we use an illustrative case example to show the major improvement in physical appearance that may be achieved with a simple unilateral deep plane neck lift.

TECHNIQUE

A 60-year-old woman presented twenty years after a right radical neck dissection and a full course of postoperative radiotherapy for squamous cell carcinoma of the floor of mouth that had metastasized to the cervical lymph nodes of the right side of the neck. At the time of presentation, she was free of disease and in good overall health. She had been quite unhappy with the appearance of her neck since...
her procedure and did not want a traditional face lift. The asymmetry that was apparent between the 2 sides of her neck after the neck dissection became even more pronounced as a consequence of the expected increase in skin laxity that was occurring with aging on her nonoperated left side and the relative lack of such changes on the side of her neck dissection (Figs 1-3).

Fig 1. Preoperative frontal view.

Fig 2. Preoperative lateral view.

Fig 3. Preoperative oblique view.

Thus, she was brought to the operating room where a left unilateral deep plane neck lift with concurrent midline platysmaplasty was performed. Under sedation anesthesia, the left neck was initially infiltrated with 1% lidocaine with 1 in 100,000 epinephrine solution for hemostasis. The local anesthesia was infiltrated both in the subcutaneous and subplatysmal planes to facilitate later bilaminar dissection. A 2-cm submental incision was made in an existing submental crease. Midline subcutaneous dissection will reveal the medial aspects of the platysma muscle (Fig 4). The typical midline diastasis of these muscles with aging was evidenced by the expected lateral migration of the position of the left platysma muscle. However, the right platysma muscle remnant, remaining in the midline, was (as is usually the case) encased in scar tissue as a consequence of previous surgery and radiation. It was undermined for a distance of just 1 cm lateral to the midline in order to allow for the placement of sutures. At this point, a (partial) rhytidectomy-type incision was made in the left postauricular area. This incision traveled from the inferior attachment of the lobule, slightly outside of the postauricular crease and, finally, curved into the scalp just superior to the level of attachment of the postauricular muscle. This muscle, in addition to the premastoid fascia, serves as
a useful immobile anchor point for the attachment of the platysma-superficial musculopaponeurotic system (SMAS) flap in deep plane rhytidectomy. Next, limited subcutaneous dissection, performed with this posterior access, showed the posterior edge of the platysma. At this juncture, a subplatysmal flap was developed anteriorly. The midline incision was now used to allow for the placement of a series of interrupted, buried 4.0 mersilene sutures between the scarred platysma remnant on the right and the mobilized platysma on the left. Then the mobilized platysma was anchored posteriorly (using the same suture material) to the postauricular muscle and premastoid fascia. The inferoposterior edge of the left platysma was then approximated to the fascia overlying the sternocleidomastoid muscle in order to effect some camouflage at this level. A minimal amount of skin (approximately 1.5 cm) was trimmed posteriorly after realignment of the scalp hairline. Limited preauricular subcutaneous dissection for a distance of 2 to 3 cm may allow for more optimal redraping of cervical skin. All incisions were then closed in 2 layers with 5.0 vicryl sutures for the subcutaneous layer, 5.0 prolene for the skin, and surgical staples for the scalp. Closure is accomplished over a medium Jackson-Pratt type suction drain. A dressing consisting of fluffs and Kerlex (Kendall Co, Mansfield, MA) is applied across the scalp and neck. The drain and dressing are removed in the office on the morning after surgery. Subsequently, a jaw bra is worn 24 hours a day (except when showering) for the first week and then nightly for 3 weeks. The patients are instructed to discontinue smoking (if applicable) for a minimum of 1 month before and 1 month after surgery. Also, nonsteroidals are not to be taken for 2 weeks before and 2 weeks after surgery. Heavy exertion is not permitted for 2 weeks postoperatively. No other restrictions are required. All patients have been able to return to their usual activities (with cosmetic make-up for any residual bruising) 2 weeks after the procedure. All patients have been able to achieve a significant amount of improvement with this procedure (Figs 4-6). We have performed this procedure on a total of 4 patients to date. No complications have been encountered in this patient population. There have been no instances of wound breakdown, hematoma, or adverse scarring with the outlined technique. This appears to be a simple, safe, and effective technique for the improvement of the stable radical neck dissection deformity in patients who may be considered to be cured of their cancer.

DISCUSSION

The unilateral neck dissection deformity will vary significantly between individual pa-
A number of investigators have described various incomplete transections of the suprahyoid musculature to improve the neck contours in patients seeking cosmetic surgery. This is of questionable usefulness in the routine cosmetic patient population and certainly should not be considered in the patient recovering from treatment of a major head and neck cancer. Often, the latter patients already have some limitation of mastication and deglutition. Adding further insult to these systems, no matter how minor, is not recommended.

Significant amounts of redundant skin in the neck may be resected with either Z-plasty or W-plasty. Both of these procedures may be useful in the rehabilitation of the neck dissection patient. However, enthusiasm for these techniques needs to be tempered by the fact that one is adding further and potentially quite noticeable incisions to an already scarred neck. This may be difficult for the patient to accept. Likewise, although improving the submental support, expanded polytetrafluoroethylene suture (or other suture type) inframandibular suspension can severely exacerbate the apparent degree of skeletonization of the neck that is routinely already present in this patient population.

We believe that the unilateral deep plane neck lift represents the best alternative in the aesthetic rehabilitation of the cervical asymmetries following neck dissection. There is a fundamental difference that exists between the operated and nonoperated sides of the neck. Surgical elevation of flaps as routinely performed to provide access to the neck dissection and any adjunctive radiotherapy both result in fibrosis of the skin, subcutaneous fat and platysma layers, leading to fundamental alterations in the normal blood supply to these areas. Any subsequent procedures performed in these areas will involve violation of a compromised blood supply with attendant risks of skin necrosis, wound dehiscence, and infection. A neck lift performed only on the nonoperated side of the neck, will circumvent this potential problem. Furthermore, performing a predominantly deep plane dissection (deep to the platysma muscle) will result in preservation of the major blood supply to the neck skin (forms a vascular network between the platysma and skin layers). This may be of importance in this patient population, as any
adjunctive radiotherapy is often administered to both sides of the neck.

Platysmaplasty is an established procedure used in rhytidectomy to address the diastasis of the platysma muscles that occurs with aging and to ameliorate any platysmal banding that may be present. In cadaver dissections, we have noted that platysmal bands appear to be the surface representations of fibrous condensations around separating platysma muscles. Thus, it is not surprising to note that, often, in patients who have undergone remote neck dissection, there is an exaggeration of the normal degree of platysmal banding seen with the aging process. We postulate that this may be a consequence of the intense fibrous reaction noted with surgery and radiotherapy. This is unproven. Plicating the platysma in the midline and securing it in the postauricular area will result in the formation of a supporting hammock in the submental area. This fixation will also result in a decrease in the apparent skin laxity that is present without the need for removal of large amounts of skin. This latter point is quite beneficial in that it avoids the subsequent difficulty one would encounter in realigning the hairline (and preventing a step-off at this level), as well as decreasing the amount of skin “bunching” that may be noted.

Finally, a unilateral neck lift will not affect the ability to detect an early recurrence of tumor by either palpation or radiographic examination. We strongly believe that the reconstructive procedure should not sacrifice the patient’s prognosis for survival from their head and neck cancer.

We have found unilateral neck lifting to be a reasonable, effective, and safe technique that significantly improves the symmetry following neck dissection. The procedure is easy to teach and simple to apply. Although having its foundation in aesthetic surgery, neck lift after neck dissection should be considered a reconstructive procedure. Its goal is not the attainment of youth or beauty, but rather the re-establishment of symmetry in the head and neck. Certainly, a unilateral neck lift is not required in all patients after neck dissection. However, it is a procedure that should be considered in the occasional patient who is distressed at the degree of asymmetry in the neck and desires operative improvement.

CONCLUSION

In summary, we believe that the ultimate goal of reconstruction of patients after treatment for head and neck cancer is the maximal return of form and function. Few viable alternatives exist in the aesthetic reconstruction of the post-neck-dissection deformity. We believe that a unilateral deep plane neck lift with midline platysmaplasty should be considered as a potentially useful rehabilitative procedure in this patient population.
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